IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 5691 OF 1995

Jalandar Bajirao Gaikwad ...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ...Respondents

.....

Shri. S.D. Rayrikar, counsel for the Petitioner
Mrs. S.S. Bendhe, A.G.P. for Respondents 1 & 2.
Shri. M.A. Patil, counsel for Respondents 3 & 4.

.....

CORAM: DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN AND
SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, JJ.
DATED: 30TH NOVEMBER, 2005

P.C.:-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the Petitioner, learned A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 3 and 4.

2. Mr. Macchindra Patil, learned counsel for Respondent Nos.3 and 4 states that the Petitioner has already been reinstated. In view of this statement nothing survives in the petition, petition is dismissed as infructuous. Rule stands discharged.

*****

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7677 OF 1996
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 5691 OF 1995

Chandrabhaga Vikas Mandal & Anr. ...Petitioner

Versus

Jalindar Bajirao Gaikwad & Ors ...Respondents

.....

Shri. M.A. Patil, counsel for Petitioners
Shri. S.D. Rayrikar, counsel for Respondent No.1.
Mrs. S.S. Bendhe, A.G.P. for Respondents 2 & 3.

.....

CORAM: DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN AND
SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, JJ.

DATED: 30TH NOVEMBER, 2005

P.C.:-

. In view of dismissal of Writ Petition nothing survives in this Application and the same is disposed of as infructuous.

*****